

Attachment 4 – External Referral Response Table

The application, and subsequent revisions, was referred to a number of External Agencies for comment. The following table details the Agency and their final comments in relation to the proposed development.

| External Agency | Reason for Referral | Response |
|---|---|--|
| Transport for NSW (TfNSW) | Frontage to a Classified Road and Traffic Generating Development. | TfNSW raises no objection to the proposed development subject to the incorporation of conditions of consent which have been included in the Recommended Conditions of Consent provided in Attachment 6 . |
| Rural Fire Service (RFS) | Bushfire Prone Land | RFS raises no objection to the proposed development subject to the incorporation of conditions of consent which have been included in the Recommended Conditions of Consent provided in Attachment 6 . |
| Environment Protection Authority (EPA) | Scheduled Activity requiring a license. | <p>NSW EPA requested additional information in their assessment of the application which was subsequently provided by the applicant.</p> <p>NSW EPA reviewed the revised Odour Assessment and issued their General Terms of Approval (GTAs) for a maximum capacity of 3,000 tonnes per annum (tpa). EPA noted that the assessment provided within the Odour Assessment was sufficient for a facility with a capacity of 3,000 tpa and any increase in capacity would be subject to a staged approach and further assessment and approval by EPA. The proposed development is a scheduled activity and requires licensing by NSW EPA and therefore the maximum capacity of the facility will be determined by NSW EPA as part of the licensing process once the application is determined.</p> <p>The subject application has been assessed for a maximum capacity of 10,000 tpa for all other aspects and therefore it is recommended that the subject application be determined on that basis with the NSW EPA GTAs forming a condition of consent that will restrict the initial operation of the facility to a capacity of 3,000 tpa.</p> |

| | | |
|---|---|--|
| Natural Resource Access Regulator (NRAR) | Watercourse onsite. | <p>NRAR raised no objection to the development and detailed that a controlled activity approval is not required and no further assessment by this agency is necessary.</p> <p>The proposed activity is exempt from section 91E (1) of the WM Act in relation to controlled activities specified in clause 42, and Part 2 of Schedule 4 of the Water Management (General) Regulation 2018 that are carried out in, on or under waterfront land.</p> |
| DPE – Biodiversity and Conservation Division (BCD) | SEARs requirement. | DPE BCD requested additional information in their assessment of the application which was subsequently provided by the applicant. At the time of finalisation of this report we were still waiting their formal response. Subject to receiving a positive response, conditions they recommend can be included in the draft conditions of consent. |
| NSW Office of Environment & Heritage | Requires an Aboriginal Heritage Impact Permit under National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974. | Heritage NSW raised no objection to the development and issued their General Terms of Approval in June 2021. |
| Essential Energy | Consultation required as part of the SEARs. | Essential Energy raised no objection to the development. |
| Fire & Rescue NSW | Consultation required as part of the SEARs. | The application was referred to Fire & Rescue NSW and no response was received. As no response was received it is considered that Fire & Rescue NSW have no objection to the development. |
| Brungle/Tumut Local Aboriginal Land Council | | No response received. Separate consultation was undertaken by the applicant prior to submitting the application as outlined in the Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment submitted in support of the application. |